

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,908	11/14/2003	Tomoichi Kamo	500.40553CX1	7659	
20457 75	20457 7590 11/03/2006			EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			WALKER, KEITH D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	
- /-(_

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/706,908	KAMO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Keith Walker	1745			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No.	ovember 2003.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🗀	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
_	Claim(s) 13-23 is/are pending in the application	1				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	,				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) 13-23 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	•				
9) 🗌 '	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			,			
Attachmen	Ne)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/706,908 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 13, 14 & 16-21, drawn to a fuel cell with a hollow support, classified in class 429, subclass 34.
- Claim 15, drawn to a fuel cell with multiple oxidant inlets, classified in class 429, subclass 39.
- III. Claims 22 & 23, drawn to a fuel cell with a specific exhaust, classified in class 429, subclass 17.
- 2. Inventions I and II are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have materially different designs and modes of operation. The invention of Group I includes a hollow support that is not present in Group II, which has multiple oxidant inlets not needed for Group I. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,908

Art Unit: 1745

3. Inventions I and III are directed to related products. The related inventions are

Page 3

distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can

have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the

inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as

claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the

inventions as claimed have materially different designs and modes of operation. The

invention of Group I includes a hollow support that is not present in Group III, which has

a specific exhaust port with a separation device not needed for Group I. Furthermore,

the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is

nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

4. Inventions II and III are directed to related products. The related inventions are

distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can

have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the

inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as

claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the

inventions as claimed have materially different designs and modes of operation. The

invention of Group II includes multiple oxidant inlets that are not present in Group III,

which has a specific exhaust port with a separation device not needed for Group II.

Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter

and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,908 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any of Groups I-III is not required for any of the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

If the invention of Group I is elected, then an election of Species is required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I-a. Claims drawn to a fuel cell with a vessel storing liquid fuel.
- I-b. Claims drawn to a fuel cell without a vessel storing liquid fuel.

If the invention of Group III is elected, then an election of Species is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I-a. Claims drawn to a fuel cell with a vessel storing liquid fuel.
- I-b. Claims drawn to a fuel cell without a vessel storing liquid fuel.

The species are independent or distinct because they have materially different designs and therefore different modes of operation.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1745

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith Walker whose telephone number is 571-272-3458. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Trainer, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,908

Art Unit: 1745

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

K. Walker

MARK RUTHWOSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/27/2006